Stand Up Indulgent Gathering Quentin Bramas, Anissa Lamani, and Sébastien Tixeuil

Strasbourg University, Strasbourg University, and Sorbonne University

Quentin Bramas < <u>bramas@unistra.fr</u> > ANR ESTATE Workshop, March 16th 2022

Mobile Autonomous Robots

- Anonymous
- Uniform
- Disoriented
- Silent
- Oblivious



- Look
- Compute
- Move

- Fully-Synchronous
- Semi-Synchronous









Execution Cycle

- Look
- Compute
- Move

- Fully-Synchronous
- Semi-Synchronous



- Look
- Compute
- Move

- Fully-Synchronous
- Semi-Synchronous





Execution Cycle

- Look
- Compute
- Move

- Fully-Synchronous
- Robot 1 Robot 2 Robot 3
- Semi-Synchronous

 $E=\Lambda$ E=2 E=3 ... LCM LCM LCM ... LCM LCM LCM LCM

Execution Cycle

- Look
- Compute
- Move

- Fully-Synchronous
- Robot 1 Robot 2 Robot 3
- Semi-Synchronous



The Fundamental Problem of Gathering



Fault-Tolerant Gathering

Weak Gathering









Strong Gathering

Gathering:

Gathering: Solvable in FSYNC [SY1999]

Gathering: Solvable in FSYNC [SY1999] Solvable in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Gathering: Solvable in FSYNC [SY1999] Solvable in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] Not solvable in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Gathering: Solvable in FSYNC [SY1999] Solvable in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] Not solvable in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering:

Gathering: Solvable in FSYNC [SY1999] Solvable in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] Not solvable in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering: **Solvable** in SSYNC with up to n-1 crashes with multiplicity detection and non-bivalent initial configuration [Bramas&Tixeuil2015]

Gathering: **Solvable** in FSYNC [SY1999] **Solvable** in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] **Not solvable** in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering: **Solvable** in SSYNC with up to n-1 crashes with multiplicity detection and non-bivalent initial configuration [Bramas&Tixeuil2015]

Stand Up Indulgent Gathering (Strong Gathering):

Gathering: **Solvable** in FSYNC [SY1999] **Solvable** in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] Not solvable in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering: **Solvable** in SSYNC with up to n-1 crashes with multiplicity detection and non-bivalent initial configuration [Bramas&Tixeuil2015]

Stand Up Indulgent Gathering (Strong Gathering): **Unsolvable** in SSYNC, even with common coordinate systems and lights with infinite # of colors [SSS2020]



Gathering: **Solvable** in FSYNC [SY1999] **Solvable** in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] **Not solvable** in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering: **Solvable** in SSYNC with up to n-1 crashes with multiplicity detection and non-bivalent initial configuration [Bramas&Tixeuil2015]

Stand Up Indulgent Gathering (Strong Gathering): **Unsolvable** in SSYNC, even with common coordinate systems and lights with infinite # of colors [SSS2020] **Solvable** in FSYNC with two robots [SSS2020]



Gathering: **Solvable** in FSYNC [SY1999] **Solvable** in SSYNC with common coordinate system. [SY1999] Not solvable in SSYNC without common coordinate system. [SY1999]

Weak Gathering: **Solvable** in SSYNC with up to n-1 crashes with multiplicity detection and non-bivalent initial configuration [Bramas&Tixeuil2015]

Stand Up Indulgent Gathering (Strong Gathering): **Unsolvable** in SSYNC, even with common coordinate systems and lights with infinite # of colors [SSS2020] **Solvable** in FSYNC with two robots [SSS2020] **Solvable** in FSYNC with n robots [ALGOSENSOR2021]





Strong Gathering



Strong Gathering





Strong Gathering

arbitrary configuration • FSVNC A crashed location

Strong Gathering





arbitrary configuration may contain 1 crashed location (but no detection)

Strong Gathering





Impossibility Result With 2 robots in SSYNC [SSS2020]

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory and communication capabilities)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory and communication capabilities)

Lemma: in an execution, if only one robot r is activated, then there is a round when r is dictated to move to the other robot.

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory and communication capabilities)

dictated to move to the other robot.

Proof: Indeed, if the other robot is crashed, we know that in finite number of rounds r moves to the other robot.

- Lemma: in an execution, if only one robot r is activated, then there is a round when r is

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Consider the following scheduler:

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Consider the following scheduler:

If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Consider the following scheduler:

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.

Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- \blacktriangleright Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.





Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- \blacktriangleright Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Consider the following scheduler:

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- \blacktriangleright Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.

The scheduler is fair otherwise there exists an execution where only r (or r') is activated, without moving to the other robot, contradicting the previous Lemma.



Theorem: SUIR is not Solvable in SSYNC (even with lights and common coordinate system)

Let A be an algorithm that solves the SUIR problem with lights (infinite memory) and communication capabilities)

Let r and r' be the two robots.

Consider the following scheduler:

- If *r* is dictated to move to $p \neq r'$, then activate only *r*
- \blacktriangleright Otherwise, If r' is dictated to move to $p \neq r$, then activate only r'
- Otherwise, activate both robots.

The scheduler is fair otherwise there exists an execution where only r (or r') is activated, without moving to the other robot, contradicting the previous Lemma.

After each round the robots are not gathered.



Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:



Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:



Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

If they decide to move to the middle

Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:



Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

If they decide to move to the middle

If they decide to move to the other



Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

If they decide to move to the middle

If they decide to move to the other

Maybe it seems impossible at first because both robots see the same thing, hence do the same thing:

If they decide to move to the middle

If they decide to move to the other

But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!

But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!



But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!



But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!

And if robots swap their positions, their views have changed!!



But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!

And if robots swap their positions, their views have changed!!



But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!

And if robots swap their positions, their views have changed!!

But if r moves to the middle "alone", r's view has changed!!

And if robots swap their positions, their views have changed!!

So the key is to use the distance between the robots and the orientation of the axe, because those are fixed.

If *d* is the distance between the two robots (seen by robot r, executing the algorithm)

If *d* is the distance between the two robots (seen by robot r, executing the algorithm)

Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d \in [2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$

- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$


- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$





- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



If *d* is the distance between the two robots (seen by robot r, executing the algorithm) Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d \in [2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$

- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



Crashes

If *d* is the distance between the two robots (seen by robot r, executing the algorithm) Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d \in [2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$

- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$



Crashes

- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$





- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$





- case $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$
- case $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$





Solution with *n*>2 robots













1 aash





+ works if all robots have the same litel



E work if all robots have the some livel



E works if all robots have the same litel



E works if all robots have the some livel

E Works if Alud (2



E work if all robots have the some livel

E Wooles if Alud (2



E work if all robots have the some livel

E Moorles if Alud (2



E work if all robots have the some livel

< works if Alud (2

Blend < 3



E work if all robots have the some livel

< works if Alud (2

Abul < 3



i= 2 vor e works if all robots have the some livel (...) make me livel increases i= 10,11 vor e works if David < 2 (...)

 $Aud \leq 3$

• If all the robots are correct we want all of them to execute move to middle ·- 1 (...) × make me

 $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{10}{1}$



 $i \geq 1$

i = 2

i = 10, 11

• If all the robots are correct we want all of them to execute move to middle



(i = 2



(i = 2





i = 2





(i = 2





i = 2





(i = 2





(i = 2




What we would like to do

i = 2



• If all the robots are correct we want all of them to execute move to middle

What we would like to do





• If all the robots are correct we want all of them to execute move to middle

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level i



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level é



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

level i



kevel 1
level 2
hvd 3

assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration

Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional

- Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration
- New level-slicing technic that can be of independent interest

- Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration
- New level-slicing technic that can be of independent interest

- Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration
- New level-slicing technic that can be of independent interest

- Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration
- New level-slicing technic that can be of independent interest

What assumption can render the problem solvable in SSYNC?

- Solving strong (crash-tolerant) gathering in FSYNC without any additional assumption on the robots nor on the initial configuration
- New level-slicing technic that can be of independent interest

• What assumption can render the problem solvable in SSYNC?

Thank you!